Submission Applicant Name: Croatia Team Normalized Scores 89.2 JUDGING CRITERION # 1: CREDIBILITY OF PARTNERSHIPS (0-5) Did the applicant provide sufficient evidence of partnering with other non-government organizations in either nominating, validating and/or jointly implementing the initiative? 0 - 11 - 2 2 - 33 - 44 - 5 Provided sufficient evidence of Showed no consultation in Some effort in consulting with Demonstrated compelling Shows strong evidence of consulting others in nominating nominating an initiative; may other partners in nominating an consulting with other partners to mechanisms for consulting nominate an initiative, was an initiative; jointly implemented have been jointly implemented initiative; initiative was not others in nominating an but shows very weak validation initiative; was not jointly with a partner agency and strong jointly implemented but provided jointly implemented and validation of claims of claims minimal validation of claims presented somewhat convincing implemented but shows validation of claims. convincing validation of claims 4.6/5 Judge Name: Mohamed Adnene Trojette Score: 4.6 Open call for applications and validation by a Council with representatives from the civil society. Not jointly implemented but four convincing Comment: validations of claims. 4.6/5 Judge Name: Gertrude Muguzi Score: A diverse and representative OGP Council made the selection and validation letters from three organisations were provided. Comment: 3.8/5 Judge Name: Tanvi Nagpal Score: No civil society partners were consulted in the nomination but several submitted letters of validation. Comment: Judge Name: Tania Sanchez Score: 5.0 Comment: There was a wide an open call to nominate, and the decisión was taken by the National OGP Council, but there is no detail as to what were the crieria used to select. This is an initiative included in their OGP NAP which involves many government agencies, and strongly backed with 3 validation claims. 4.9/5Judge Name: Florence Thibault Score: 4.9 Comment: We have a good explanation about the consultation and all the process elaborated to nominate the initiative : public call for proposals of initiatives that could best showcase Croatian open government efforts, decision taken by a council composed of representatives of Civil Society, Media, Business, Government, Parliament... Futhemore we have several letters from nongovernmental actors, who attest to the veracity of the claims made in the application. JUDGING CRITERION # 2: STRENGTH AND INNOVATION IN OPEN GOVERNMENT APPROACHES (0-5) Does the initiative make a compelling case of using open government approaches [e.g. increasing access to information, civic participation, public accountability and/or technology for transparency] to improve public service delivery? 0 - 1 1 - 2 2 - 3 3 - 4 4 - 5 Exhibits a centralized, top-down Somewhat articulates the Makes a convincing case of the Establishes strong rationale for Employs innovative open using open government approach to improving public importance of using open need to use open government government approaches given government approaches but services rather than publicapproaches and addresses a approaches which are somewhat the country context; targets an facing approach; Target these are sporadic, not wellneed of the target population for ambitious number of the innovative; targets a large thought out; Needs of the target improved public services number of the population and population largely have a population and is responding to population is unclear clearly identifies a need a real need or demand passive role 4.5/5 Judge Name: Mohamed Adnene Trojette Score: Comment: Targets the whole population and offers it new tools to get involved in the public debate. Judge Name: Gertrude Muguzi Score: Comment: This initiative is a very interesting way of making government truly open. It also demonstrates how improved transparency can actually improve efficiency and effectiveness in government which is not always the case. I especially like the improvement in communication between teachers, students and parents and the reduction in bureaucracy to access government administrative services in this regard. Judge Name: Tanvi Nagpal Score: 3.3 The portal provides a direct link between some government services and citizens-- teachers and parents, pharmacies etc. and it appears to be Comment: widely used. However, it's unclear whether citizens are actually using this portal for anything more than to receive information ( in the case of parents) or order prescriptions ( does not mean that they are receiving better care! ) 5/5 Judge Name: Tania Sanchez Score: The scope of the initiative is impressive. It not only brings government closer to citizens by setting up a one-stop-shop for information of all Comment: public services and for e-services, but its features also enable participation on draft legislation and on other government documents. Moreover, citizens can track the state administration responses to the submitted comments. Florence Thibault Judge Name: Score: 5.0 The initiative concern all the population and all public services. It's responding to a real demand. Considering the country context, what is Comment: important to stress is the unique building blocks developped. We have to be sure that behind the national portal there is not a lot of portal with any logical approach but we can not appreciate this point here. JUDGING CRITERION # 3: EVIDENCE OF RESULTS (0-5) Is there any evidence of the initiative achieving the four initiative outcomes listed in the application and/or concrete improvements in public services or access to services? 1 - 2 0 - 1 2 - 3 3 - 4 4 - 5 Shows little evidence of Shows some signs of achieving Uses clear indicators to prove Demonstrates achieving one or Achieved two or more of the achieving any of the outcomes or outcomes but the evidence is more of the outcomes, but it is that one or more of the outcomes outcomes to ultimately expand unclear whether the quality of were achieved: initiative has of an improvement in public unconvincing; change in public access or improve service quality service is incremental and has the public service or access to for a majority of the target services; target population has widened access or improved the population; set new standards reached some of the target barely been reached the service has improved quality of a public service for more than half of the target for the relationship between population government and citizens population 4.7/5 Judge Name: Mohamed Adnene Trojette Score: Comment: E-Consultations allows citizens' better access to public policy information and gives them ways to get involved in designing and evaluating public services. Personal Mailbox creates a direct bi-directional channel for citizens to report issues and for Government to resolve these issues. 4.2/5 Gertrude Muguzi Judge Name: Score: 4.2 Comment: All 4 outcomes are addressed in this project. The new efficiency standards set through online access of personal documentation is definitely a plus. Since just over half of the Croatian population has internet access, this is a very useful way to increase accessibility of government services and give a large proportion of the public the opportunity to participate in public decision-making. 4.2/5 Judge Name: Tanvi Nagpal Score: 4.2 See previous comment. There is no evidence that service itself has improved. Comment: 4.8 / 5 Tania Sanchez Judge Name: Score: 4.8 Comment: The initiative seems to be reaching a wide public, enabling easier access to public services, but also allowing for participating in decisión making and in monitoring performance. It would be interesting to have more data on the performance of the platform. Florence Thibault Judge Name: Score: 3.7 Comment: Here, the initiative deals with a national project. The number of users is not important (153000 for the portal and 90000 for the mailbox) and do not represent more thn half of the target population but they have accessed to the National portal a lot of times (2.5 millions times). We can suppose that is because the service quality is better ou easier. JUDGING CRITERION # 4: SUSTAINABILITY (0-5) Does the applicant make a compelling case that the initiative will be institutionalized or scaled-up over time? 0 - 1 1 - 2 2 - 3 3 - 44 - 5 Presents a durable model that Demonstrates few plans in Shows some committment to Lists activities to institutionalize Outlines a clear path to either institutionalize or scale-up the moving the initiative beyond the institutionalizing the initiative; the initiative; but only somewhat can be institutionalized and/or initiative; makes a good case on scaled-up; makes a compelling pilot stage; does not address any but presents unrealistic ways of addresses how challenges will be potential threats or challenges to how potential challenges will be managing challenges faced by addressed case for how challenges will be the initiative addressed the initiative managed 4.2/5 Judge Name: Mohamed Adnene Trojette Score: Public administrations' involvement has been made compulsory by law. The application shows strong political will/support. Comment: 4/5 Judge Name: Gertrude Muguzi Score: Comment: The initiative derives its mandate from the law which makes it compulsory for all government institutions to make use of it for certain functions. This is therefore already institutionalized. Further institutionalization is planned. My only concern is the privacy and security of information risks associated with increased online storage, transfer and use of personal information. This was mentioned a risk in the application but I do not have enough technical knowledge to assess the solution that was proposed. 4.5/5 Judge Name: Tanvi Nagpal Score: Comment: The initiative is quite large and supported centrally. There is no reason to believe that it cannot or will not be scaled. However, it's unclear that it will actually improve the quality of public services. 4.7/5 Tania Sanchez Judge Name: Score: 4.7 Comment: There is high level political will to institutionalize the e-Citizen system; all government agencies are legally obliged to use it for every eservice. An important challenge was addressing the security issue to use personal IDs. Moreover, the government is planning on implementing innovative strategies to get more citizens to use the platform. 4.5/5 Florence Thibault Judge Name: Score: 4.5 Comment: As I have explained before, we deal here with and important national project that presents a durable model. May be there is an point that is no mentionned here: how the government can help people that do not have a computer/mobile... and how the government can help people for witch this mean of communication is not easy? JUDGING CRITERION # 5: SPECIAL RECOGNITION (0-5) Does this open government initiative demonstrate that it successfully improved service delivery access and/or outcomes for a vulnerable population (e.g. poor, elderly, minorities, women), thereby promoting more inclusive development? \*Please note that this criterion will not be used in the overall score. 0 - 1 1 - 2 2 - 3 3 - 44 - 5 Select this range for No Do Not Select Do Not Select Do Not Select Select this range for Yes 0/5Judge Name: Mohamed Adnene Trojette Score: This initiative suggests that vulnerable populations will get better service. However, this is not proved by the applicant. Moreover, internet Comment: access and litteracy are pre-requisites for these populations to enjoy the full experience of E-Citizens. 0/5 Judge Name: Gertrude Muguzi Score: Comment: Vulnerable populations such as the elderly and people with disabilities are mentioned as primary beneficiary target groups of the the initiative but there is no substantiation of how and/or to what extent they have been successful in reaching these groups. 0/5Judge Name: Tanvi Nagpal Score: 0.0

No. It does not demonstrate that improved access for any special groups or vulnerable populations.

We are not in this case because the initiative is for all the population and not only for vulnerable populations

It is not targeted for a vulnerable population, but by decreasing the costs to process public services it could have a greater impact on the poor

Comment:

Judge Name:

Judge Name:

Score: Comment:

Comment:

Score:

Tania Sanchez

population.

Florence Thibault

0/5

0/5