Submission Applicant Name: Honduras Team Normalized Scores 66.2 JUDGING CRITERION # 1: CREDIBILITY OF PARTNERSHIPS (0-5) Did the applicant provide sufficient evidence of partnering with other non-government organizations in either nominating, validating and/or jointly implementing the initiative? 0 - 11 - 2 2 - 33 - 44 - 5 Showed no consultation in Some effort in consulting with Provided sufficient evidence of Demonstrated compelling Shows strong evidence of other partners in nominating an consulting others in nominating consulting with other partners to mechanisms for consulting nominating an initiative; may nominate an initiative, was an initiative; jointly implemented have been jointly implemented initiative; initiative was not others in nominating an but shows very weak validation initiative; was not jointly with a partner agency and strong jointly implemented but provided jointly implemented and validation of claims of claims minimal validation of claims presented somewhat convincing implemented but shows validation of claims. convincing validation of claims 1.3 / 5Judge Name: Justine Dupuys Score: 1.3 Comment: no identifying mechanism of participation for the selection and nomination. it'a not a joint project and there is no validation of claims, they shared documentation of contracts and projects they signed with the world bank and an NGO. Judge Name: Virginia Pardo Score: Comment: Se validó postulación en el marco del Comité de Gobierno Abierto, con participación de Soc. Civil, y empresas. No se realizó postulación conjunta con otras organizaciones. No se registran más evidencias de comprueben más actividades de colaboración en la propuesta. Si es una iniciativa de claro interés público. 3.3 / 5 Judge Name: Tiago Peixoto Score: Shows evidence of consulting civil society organisations for the nomination. Comment: 1.7/5Judge Name: Alvaro Ramirez Alujas Score: Comment: La iniciativa presentada es parte de los compromisos del plan de acción OGP de Honduras y se asume que su postulación a este concurso fue el resultado de un proceso de deliberación de la Secretaría Técnica del Comité de seguimiento del PAGAH 2014-2016. No obstante no se presenta como un trabajo desarrollado o con respaldo/aval directo de (alguna) OSC sino más bien como una iniciativa a ser desarrollada desde el propio gobierno. 2.5/5 Judge Name: Tania Sanchez Score: 2.5 Comment: Not sufficient evidence of consultation, but the implementation of the initiative involves partnering with several CSO and international agencies. Validation claims are not support letters, but rather MOUs with one CSO and an agreement with the World Bank. JUDGING CRITERION # 2: STRENGTH AND INNOVATION IN OPEN GOVERNMENT APPROACHES (0-5) Does the initiative make a compelling case of using open government approaches [e.g. increasing access to information, civic participation, public accountability and/or technology for transparency] to improve public service delivery? 0 - 1 1 - 2 2 - 3 3 - 4 4 - 5 Exhibits a centralized, top-down Somewhat articulates the Makes a convincing case of the Establishes strong rationale for Employs innovative open need to use open government approach to improving public importance of using open using open government government approaches given services rather than publicapproaches and addresses a approaches which are somewhat the country context; targets an government approaches but these are sporadic, not wellneed of the target population for ambitious number of the facing approach; Target innovative; targets a large population largely have a thought out; Needs of the target improved public services number of the population and population and is responding to a real need or demand passive role population is unclear clearly identifies a need 3.9/5 Judge Name: Justine Dupuys Score: Comment: despite the strong top down approach of the initiative, there is a lot of open government components like transparency, accountability, use of new technologies, and the active participation of the target population like fathers who are taking part to the monitoring of the schools and a system for denouncing abuses online 3.6/5 Virginia Pardo Judge Name: Score: 3.6 Comment: Es una iniciativa claramente identificada como de reforma educativa. Principalmente el foco esta dado en la mejora radical de la gestión y gobernabilidad de la educación, así como en la transparencia y rendición de cuentas. Los instrumentos planteados dentro del enfoque de Gobierno Abierto, corresponde a transparencia de la información mediante sitio Web y la participación dentro de las familias, denuncias, etc. Si bien es una iniciativa de alto impacto, no podría clasificarse de innovación. Judge Name: Tiago Peixoto Score: The proposal fails to establish a link between open government approaches and improvement in service delivery. Most of the activities that Comment: seem to have an effect are managerial in their nature. 2.7/5 Alvaro Ramirez Alujas Judge Name: Score: 2.7 Vincula temas de transparencia en el sector educativo con mejoramiento de la infraestructura (acceso a información y rendición de cuentas). Comment: 4.8/5 Tania Sanchez Judge Name: Score: The initiative is designed to support an ambitious revamping of the education system by increasing access to information,, civic participation Comment: and public accountability. JUDGING CRITERION # 3: EVIDENCE OF RESULTS (0-5) Is there any evidence of the initiative achieving the four initiative outcomes listed in the application and/or concrete improvements in public services or access to services? 1 - 2 0 - 1 2 - 3 3 - 4 4 - 5 Uses clear indicators to prove Shows little evidence of Shows some signs of achieving Demonstrates achieving one or Achieved two or more of the more of the outcomes, but it is outcomes but the evidence is outcomes to ultimately expand achieving any of the outcomes or that one or more of the outcomes of an improvement in public unconvincing; change in public unclear whether the quality of were achieved; initiative has access or improve service quality services; target population has service is incremental and has the public service or access to widened access or improved the for a majority of the target barely been reached reached some of the target the service has improved quality of a public service for population; set new standards more than half of the target for the relationship between population government and citizens population 4.2/5 Justine Dupuys Judge Name: Score: There is a lot of information available on education system thanks to this initiative with friendly visualization, they had an independent Comment: evaluation of improvement of the number of school days for kids in Honduras. 3.6/5 Judge Name: Virginia Pardo Score: 3.6 Claramente se evidencian, mediante la información publicada en el sitio web e informes las mejoras impactadas en el sector educativo Comment: hondureño, vinculado con el mejor acceso y calidad en la educación para niños y adolescentes. No se evidencia tan claramente la participación activa de la ciudadanía y Soc. Civil en el proceso. 3.1/5Judge Name: Tiago Peixoto Score: There is some evidence of reaching the outcomes, but their extent is unclear. Comment: 2.8/5 Alvaro Ramirez Alujas Judge Name: Score: La postulación no presenta detalle sobre indicadores y/o métricas que permitan valorar su potencial impacto. Si bien se entregan algunos datos Comment: y cifras sobre los resultados alcanzados a la fecha, y cómo ello ha mejorado el sector educativo en Honduras, no existe claridad sobre el producto final en relación a la idea de gobierno abierto. 4.6/5 Judge Name: Tania Sanchez Score: Comment: The initiative has been in place for over two years, and has managed to achieve the four outcomes to significantly improve education. Promoting the involvement of civil society --importantly, parents-- has been key. JUDGING CRITERION # 4: SUSTAINABILITY (0-5) Does the applicant make a compelling case that the initiative will be institutionalized or scaled-up over time? 1 - 2 0 - 1 2 - 3 3 - 44 - 5 Demonstrates few plans in Shows some committment to Lists activities to institutionalize Outlines a clear path to either Presents a durable model that moving the initiative beyond the institutionalize or scale-up the can be institutionalized and/or institutionalizing the initiative; the initiative; but only somewhat pilot stage; does not address any but presents unrealistic ways of addresses how challenges will be initiative; makes a good case on scaled-up; makes a compelling addressed how potential challenges will be case for how challenges will be potential threats or challenges to managing challenges faced by the initiative the initiative addressed managed 2.7/5 Judge Name: Justine Dupuys Score: 2.7 There is a plan to scale up this initiative but it is more a public policy for education than an initiative of open government Comment: Judge Name: Virginia Pardo Score: Comment: La sostenibilidad esta planteada en el marco de la continuidad de las acciones de la secretaria de educación y de continuar implementando la Ley Fundamental de Educación mediante la aplicación de los reglamentos de manera gradual y efectiva. 4.2/5 Tiago Peixoto Judge Name: Score: Yes, there seems to be an institutional framework that allows for sustainability. Comment: 2.5/5 Alvaro Ramirez Alujas Judge Name: Score: 2.5 Según lo presentado, se trata de un enfoque de trabajo para potenciar y desarrollar la política de educación en Honduras. Comment: 4.5 / 5 Tania Sanchez Judge Name: Score: 4.5 Points out some challenges and mentions plans to address those; underlines that implementing the education law and its regulation requieres Comment: the sustainability of the initiative. Involvement of stakeholders strengthens the prospects of sustainability. JUDGING CRITERION # 5: SPECIAL RECOGNITION (0-5) Does this open government initiative demonstrate that it successfully improved service delivery access and/or outcomes for a vulnerable population (e.g. poor, elderly, minorities, women), thereby promoting more inclusive development? *Please note that this criterion will not be used in the overall score. 0 - 11 - 2 2 - 3 3 - 4 4 - 5 Select this range for No Do Not Select Do Not Select Do Not Select Select this range for Yes 5/5 Judge Name: Justine Dupuys Score: this initiative improved the education system. The kids are the vulnerable population that benefit from this initiative Comment: Judge Name: Virginia Pardo Score: Comment: La iniciativa claramente involucra población vulnerable de niños, niñas y adolescentes del sector educativo Hondureño, procurando mejorar significativamente el acceso y calidad de su educación. 0/5Judge Name: Tiago Peixoto Score: Comment: Not really. Although there is an improvement in service delivery (access to school) it is unclear if that is related to the "opengov" reforms. 5/5 Judge Name: Alvaro Ramirez Alujas Score: Se trata de una estrategia que pretende impactar positivamente en la gobernanza y calidad del sistema educativo hondureño. Comment:

Ultimate beneficiaries of the initiative are school children.

Tania Sanchez

Judge Name:

Comment: