Submission Y Applicant Name: Italy Team Normalized Scores 76.7 JUDGING CRITERION # 1: CREDIBILITY OF PARTNERSHIPS (0-5) Did the applicant provide sufficient evidence of partnering with other non-government organizations in either nominating, validating and/or jointly implementing the initiative? 0 - 11 - 2 2 - 33 - 44 - 5 Provided sufficient evidence of Demonstrated compelling Showed no consultation in Some effort in consulting with Shows strong evidence of consulting with other partners to consulting others in nominating nominating an initiative; may other partners in nominating an mechanisms for consulting have been jointly implemented nominate an initiative, was initiative; initiative was not others in nominating an an initiative; jointly implemented but shows very weak validation jointly implemented but provided jointly implemented and initiative; was not jointly with a partner agency and strong of claims minimal validation of claims presented somewhat convincing implemented but shows validation of claims validation of claims. convincing validation of claims 2.1/5 Judge Name: Milena Nedeva Score: 2.1 No information is provided on the consultation process for the nomination of this particular initiative, Comment: 2.9/5 Judge Name: Mendi Njonjo Score: Comment: Applicant provides sufficient evidence of partnering with other groups (government, non-government organizations, media groups etc.) for jointly implementing the initiative. 2.4/5 Judge Name: Marija Novkovic Score: There is no evidence of consulting other stakeholders in nominating this initiative. Comment: 4.4/5 Judge Name: Radu Puchiu Score: 4.4 There application is part of the country's NAP and shows not only that was jointly developed and implemented but a strong support from civil Comment: society and media for the application. 4.9 / 5 Judge Name: Maxine Tanya Hamada Score: Comment: The mutual realization by the state and its partner institutions that the data was not being used is the foundation of this partnership. Both the supply and the demand side for the data agree on a trajectory for meaningful and re-usability of the available open data. JUDGING CRITERION # 2: STRENGTH AND INNOVATION IN OPEN GOVERNMENT APPROACHES (0-5) Does the initiative make a compelling case of using open government approaches [e.g. increasing access to information, civic participation, public accountability and/or technology for transparency] to improve public service delivery? 0 - 1 1 - 2 2 - 3 3 - 44 - 5 Somewhat articulates the Makes a convincing case of the Exhibits a centralized, top-down Establishes strong rationale for Employs innovative open approach to improving public importance of using open need to use open government using open government government approaches given government approaches but approaches and addresses a approaches which are somewhat the country context; targets an services rather than publicthese are sporadic, not wellneed of the target population for ambitious number of the facing approach; Target innovative; targets a large population largely have a thought out; Needs of the target improved public services number of the population and population and is responding to population is unclear clearly identifies a need a real need or demand passive role 3.3 / 5 Milena Nedeva Judge Name: Score: Clearly identified deficit and evidence-based rationale for opening public data. Comment: 4.3 / 5 Judge Name: Mendi Njonjo Score: By getting more traction and usage for open & public data, the initiative make a compelling case of using open government approaches. Comment: Through increased access to information, there is possibility of increased civic (and other stakeholder participation) & public service delivery. 4.6/5 Judge Name: Marija Novkovic Score: Comment: The numbers of searches on the public spending note is quite staggering. The initiative managed to respond to the need for more openness and transparency. 4.1/5 Radu Puchiu Judge Name: Score: Comment: The initiative shows a strong commitment towards using the technology and establishes a good model for open data use and re-use. Targets a large number of population by combining in a innovative way the data visualization for an increased access to information and a better way of understanding data. 3.4/5 Maxine Tanya Hamada Judge Name: Score: Comment: The selection of three focus areas to deepen the use and reuse of available open data shows the target of this initiative. The initiative has the potential to expand to other focus areas and thereby widen the scope and reach. JUDGING CRITERION # 3: EVIDENCE OF RESULTS (0-5) Is there any evidence of the initiative achieving the four initiative outcomes listed in the application and/or concrete improvements in public services or access to services? 0 - 1 1 - 2 2 - 3 3 - 4 4 - 5 Shows little evidence of Shows some signs of achieving Demonstrates achieving one or Uses clear indicators to prove Achieved two or more of the outcomes but the evidence is achieving any of the outcomes or more of the outcomes, but it is that one or more of the outcomes outcomes to ultimately expand unclear whether the quality of of an improvement in public unconvincing; change in public were achieved; initiative has access or improve service quality services; target population has service is incremental and has the public service or access to widened access or improved the for a majority of the target reached some of the target barely been reached the service has improved quality of a public service for population; set new standards population more than half of the target for the relationship between population government and citizens 4.2 / 5 Milena Nedeva Judge Name: Score: Based on the numbers quoted the initiative clearly established a new standard for government-citizen interaction. Comment: Judge Name: Mendi Njonjo Score: Comment: The initiative seeks to get more traction for the data usage (e.g though visualizations more public and researchers etc. are using the information). Validation video provides some information on how this can translate to improvements in public services. 4.1/5 Judge Name: Marija Novkovic Score: 4.1 Comment: There appears to be a mechanism for monitoring and overseeing the work of public sector bodies. It would be interesting to know which groups engage with open data and reuse it. The assumption is that this attracts NGOs and high profile data driven journalists, as well as developers. Are there any plans for engaging other groups? 3.8/5 Judge Name: Radu Puchiu Score: 3.8 Comment: More than two outcomes were achieved. The initiative widened the access to information using tools for better understanding of the data. To some extent is sets a new approach towards the relation between government and citizens in the way data is opendata is used. Maxine Tanya Hamada Judge Name: Score: Comment: The initiative has three of the four outcomes. There is not so much data on whether the initiative has translated into concrete improvements in public spending, community resiliency and delivery of public works. In the future, these may perhaps be more apparent and measured. JUDGING CRITERION # 4: SUSTAINABILITY (0-5) Does the applicant make a compelling case that the initiative will be institutionalized or scaled-up over time? 1 - 2 0 - 12 - 33 - 44 - 5 Presents a durable model that Demonstrates few plans in Shows some committment to Lists activities to institutionalize Outlines a clear path to either moving the initiative beyond the institutionalizing the initiative; the initiative; but only somewhat institutionalize or scale-up the can be institutionalized and/or pilot stage; does not address any but presents unrealistic ways of initiative; makes a good case on addresses how challenges will be scaled-up; makes a compelling potential threats or challenges to managing challenges faced by addressed how potential challenges will be case for how challenges will be the initiative the initiative addressedmanaged 4.3 / 5 Judge Name: Milena Nedeva Score: Detailed and convincing approach to ensuring sustainability through ongoing involvement of a variety of stakeholders and encouragement of Comment: active re-use of public data. 4.8 / 5 Judge Name: Mendi Njonjo Score: Applicant makes a compelling case on the potential for this being scaled up over time (low cost of use, reusability of data etc.) . However- this Comment: claim assumes that making data re-usable= actionable data which cannot be validated. 4.1/5Judge Name: Marija Novkovic Score: 4.1 Please consider other risks associated with this initiative, such as the low adoption rate of new web or mobile tools. This is usually the case Comment: when users are not consulted in the design stage. Consider more inclusive forms of innovation as hackathons attract only a small section of the society. 4.2/5 Judge Name: Radu Puchiu Score: The model is surely one institutionalized and has a strong potential for scaling-up. Comment: 4/5 Judge Name: Maxine Tanya Hamada Score: The national open data strategy is positioned as the sustainability driver - done in continuous consultation with civil society and data users. Comment: The scale at which this could be expanded will in part depend on the ability to show a demonstrable impact on public service delivery. JUDGING CRITERION # 5: SPECIAL RECOGNITION (0-5) Does this open government initiative demonstrate that it successfully improved service delivery access and/or outcomes for a vulnerable population (e.g. poor, elderly, minorities, women), thereby promoting more inclusive development? *Please note that this criterion will not be used in the overall score. 0 - 1 1 - 2 2 - 3 3 - 4 4 - 5 Select this range for No Do Not Select Do Not Select Do Not Select Select this range for Yes 0/5 Judge Name: Milena Nedeva Score: Comment: The initiative does not specifically target vulnerable groups. Judge Name: Mendi Njonjo Score:

Marija Novkovic Judge Name: Score: Comment:

Comment:

0/5

0/5

It is difficult to assess this as there is no information in the submission on the profile of data4all users.

damages) in the benefit of vulnerable population exposed to such risks.

The applicant doesn't provide sufficient information for classifying this as a project that targets marginalized or vulnerable groups.

number of risk indicators across the national territory (e.g. the government spending in public works for flood prevention, emergencies and

Radu Puchiu Judge Name: Score: 5.0 Comment: The initiative makes a strong case in the potential of using data for a better decision making in the cases of flood areas of Italy, offering a

Judge Name: Maxine Tanya Hamada Score:

Comment: The three selected focus areas of this initiative does not yet allow for strategic intervention for vulnerable populations. If the national open data strategy can identify a particular data set or group of data, it may then utilize this model for vulnerable populations