Submission Applicant Name: South Korea Team Normalized Scores 85.4 JUDGING CRITERION # 1: CREDIBILITY OF PARTNERSHIPS (0-5) Did the applicant provide sufficient evidence of partnering with other non-government organizations in either nominating, validating and/or jointly implementing the initiative? 0 - 11 - 2 2 - 3 3 - 44 - 5 Demonstrated compelling Showed no consultation in Some effort in consulting with Provided sufficient evidence of Shows strong evidence of consulting with other partners to mechanisms for consulting consulting others in nominating nominating an initiative; may other partners in nominating an initiative: initiative was not others in nominating an an initiative; jointly implemented have been jointly implemented nominate an initiative, was with a partner agency and strong but shows very weak validation jointly implemented but provided jointly implemented and initiative; was not jointly minimal validation of claims presented somewhat convincing implemented but shows validation of claims of claims validation of claims. convincing validation of claims Judge Name: Don Don Parafina Score: 4.9 Partners from the consumer group and mobile internet business association were clearly identified and they showed appreciation of the Comment: initiative. The former described how consumers directly used the application and the latter was involved in its evaluation. Judge Name: Haidy Ear-Dupuy Score: Comment: Some partnering with community/users via their online feedback. But no CSO group identified as it is a government initiative. 4.6/5 Judge Name: Milena Nedeva Score: 4.6 Comment: A nomination consultation process took place. There is however no information on the exact procedure, the number of stakeholders involved and the number of nominations received as well as on the actual selection process. 3.5/5 Ritva Reinikka Judge Name: Score: While the application does not describe a very participatory nomination process, the initiative comes across highly participatory in its Comment: implementation, including government, the private sector, consumer groups, health care groups and private citizens. Using senior citizens as test users is a smart move as they are usually the least familiar with "techie" solutions -- and are likely to need health services the most. Voice activation features are specifically meant for them. The validation letters come across strong. 2.1/5 Maxine Tanya Hamada Judge Name: Score: Comment: It is unfortunate that the initiative was not jointly nominated. The partnerships are there and clearly articulated in the submission. JUDGING CRITERION # 2: STRENGTH AND INNOVATION IN OPEN GOVERNMENT APPROACHES (0-5) Does the initiative make a compelling case of using open government approaches [e.g. increasing access to information, civic participation, public accountability and/or technology for transparency] to improve public service delivery? 0 - 1 1 - 2 2 - 3 3 - 4 4 - 5 Exhibits a centralized, top-down Somewhat articulates the Makes a convincing case of the Establishes strong rationale for Employs innovative open need to use open government approach to improving public importance of using open using open government government approaches given approaches and addresses a the country context; targets an services rather than publicgovernment approaches but approaches which are somewhat ambitious number of the facing approach; Target these are sporadic, not wellneed of the target population for innovative; targets a large thought out; Needs of the target improved public services number of the population and population and is responding to population largely have a clearly identifies a need passive role population is unclear a real need or demand Don Don Parafina Judge Name: Score: 5.0 Comment: It's a perfect example of appropriate and optimal use of mobile phone app to enhance transparency and effectiveness in public service. 4.1/5 Judge Name: Haidy Ear-Dupuy Score: Comment: The feedback mechanism from the users are important component that is innovative in this project. Additionally, the government has demonstrated a responsiveness to the users as the program is always seeking to improve and increase its usability. 4.7/5 Milena Nedeva Judge Name: Score: The initiative is highly innovative and fits perfectly the country context. It provides a practical technological response to a clearly identified Comment: need by translating open government data into an easy-to-use service. 3.6/5 Judge Name: Ritva Reinikka Score: The initiative has public access to health information as its core, in an easy way and real time. While the application was not very explicit on Comment: the two-way nature of this health information mobile app, this is what the mobile business representative emphasized in the endorsements. Another strong feature of the initiative is technology for transparency and public service. A need was clearly identified in the application. 4.9/5 Maxine Tanya Hamada Judge Name: Score: 4.9 Access to health information to empower citizen access and choice is clearly presented and demonstrated by the initiative. The challenge of Comment: simplifying a vast database of information so that an ordinary citizen can know the services nearby and the cost comparison is addressed by the model. JUDGING CRITERION # 3: EVIDENCE OF RESULTS (0-5) Is there any evidence of the initiative achieving the four initiative outcomes listed in the application and/or concrete improvements in public services or access to services? 0 - 1 1 - 2 2 - 3 3 - 4 4 - 5 Uses clear indicators to prove Shows little evidence of Shows some signs of achieving Demonstrates achieving one or Achieved two or more of the more of the outcomes, but it is achieving any of the outcomes or outcomes but the evidence is outcomes to ultimately expand that one or more of the outcomes access or improve service quality of an improvement in public unconvincing; change in public unclear whether the quality of were achieved; initiative has widened access or improved the for a majority of the target services; target population has service is incremental and has the public service or access to population; set new standards barely been reached reached some of the target the service has improved quality of a public service for more than half of the target for the relationship between population population government and citizens 4.8 / 5 Don Don Parafina Judge Name: Score: Outcomes were documented with sufficient inputs from the users' side. Comment: 4/5 Judge Name: Haidy Ear-Dupuy Score: The support of results would have been stronger if there were some input from the users. The 2 letters provided are from partner organizations. Comment: Video inclusion provided instruction. Need user feedback to strengthen result reporting. 4.5/5 Judge Name: Milena Nedeva Score: 4.5 The initiative clearly results in a better service for a larger number of people and promotes a new type of interaction between government and Comment: the users of government services which is fast, data-based and constantly improving. 4.1/5 Judge Name: Ritva Reinikka Score: The app is impressive. The application reports client satisfaction surveys results which indicate high satisfaction with the information Comment: provided. 67% of those interviewed used the app to check hospital fees before choosing a facility. This indicated strong credibility of the app as it was initially fraud by medical profession that got the initiative going. There is no outcome evidence though about, say, lower health spending, or health related indicators. 4.4/5 Judge Name: Maxine Tanya Hamada Score: Comment: The initiative addresses the indicators of access, feedback and response. It would be good to know in the coming months or years if the level of utilization of medical services has been improved, if service providers have levelled the costs of their services according to demand and if the ability to choose has actually demonstrated a change in medical service delivery. JUDGING CRITERION # 4: SUSTAINABILITY (0-5) Does the applicant make a compelling case that the initiative will be institutionalized or scaled-up over time? 0 - 11 - 2 2 - 3 3 - 4 4 - 5 Demonstrates few plans in Lists activities to institutionalize Outlines a clear path to either Presents a durable model that Shows some committment to moving the initiative beyond the institutionalize or scale-up the institutionalizing the initiative; the initiative; but only somewhat can be institutionalized and/or initiative; makes a good case on pilot stage; does not address any but presents unrealistic ways of addresses how challenges will be scaled-up; makes a compelling how potential challenges will be case for how challenges will be potential threats or challenges to managing challenges faced by addressed addressed the initiative the initiative managed 5/5 Judge Name: Don Don Parafina Score: Comment: HIRA as proponent of the initiative appears to be in the best position to sustain the initiative, providing institutional and technical support, bureaucratic command, and financing. It's also engaging the target public leading to broader acceptability and ownership of the mobile application. 4.4/5 Judge Name: Haidy Ear-Dupuy Score: Comment: Excellent sustainability as it is a government led and citizen's request and feedback mechanism. 4.7/5 Judge Name: Milena Nedeva Score: Special attention is paid to involving regional and municipal authorities and a variety of other stakeholders in order to address the challenges Comment: and risks identified and establish a durable mechanism for health service provision. 4.3/5 Ritva Reinikka Judge Name:

Score: 4.3 Comment: The application provides a solid analysis of challenges and convincing mitigation strategy.

in actual service delivery quality and quantity

2 - 3

Do Not Select

The particular attention to the challenges of making the technology even more inclusive with speech assisted interface is a good example of addressing the challenges of access. The sustainability of this innovation may also depend on the ability of this engagement to show a change

The project addressed the need of the elderly and supported by university students. It has inclusion of both the young and the old. A discussion

The use of senior citizens as testers and providing e.g., voice activation them in mind qualifies this initiative for promoting inclusive

3 - 4

Do Not Select

4.8 / 5

4 - 5

Select this range for Yes

5/5

5/5

JUDGING CRITERION # 5: SPECIAL RECOGNITION (0-5) Does this open government initiative demonstrate that it successfully improved service delivery access and/or outcomes for a vulnerable population (e.g. poor, elderly, minorities, women), thereby promoting more inclusive development? *Please note that this criterion will not be used in the overall score.

1 - 2

Do Not Select

Maxine Tanya Hamada

4.8

Judge Name: Don Don Parafina

5.0

Judge Name:

0 - 1

Select this range for No

Comment:

Score:

Score:

Comment:

Score:

Comment:

Comment: The initiative has mentioned users from vulnerable groups, especially the elderly, but there was no mention a group of people with low or no access to mobile could also be serviced by the application.

Haidy Ear-Dupuy Judge Name: Score:

of internet penetration and smart phone apps use would have helped to strengthen the argument for using digital approach to addressing people's medical needs.

Milena Nedeva Judge Name: Score:

Comment: Special efforts are made as part of the initiative to involve disabled and elderly people in service development and to encourage them to use the service.

Judge Name: Ritva Reinikka

development as defined above.

Maxine Tanya Hamada Judge Name: Score: 5.0 Comment: The initiative has a focus on senior citizens access to the nearest and most cost-efficient services. Given challenges of mobility and other

impairments, this would be a very useful tool for them