

Submission

Applicant Name: Mexico Team
Normalized Scores 90.3

JUDGING CRITERION # 1: CREDIBILITY OF PARTNERSHIPS (0-5)

Did the applicant provide sufficient evidence of partnering with other non-government organizations in either nominating, validating and/or jointly implementing the initiative?

0 - 1	1 - 2	2 - 3	3 - 4	4 - 5
<i>Shows no consultation in nominating an initiative; may have been jointly implemented but shows very weak validation of claims</i>	<i>Some effort in consulting with other partners in nominating an initiative; initiative was not jointly implemented but provided minimal validation of claims</i>	<i>Provided sufficient evidence of consulting with other partners to nominate an initiative, was jointly implemented and presented somewhat convincing validation of claims.</i>	<i>Demonstrated compelling mechanisms for consulting others in nominating an initiative; was not jointly implemented but shows convincing validation of claims</i>	<i>Shows strong evidence of consulting others in nominating an initiative; jointly implemented with a partner agency and strong validation of claims</i>

4.6 / 5

Judge Name: Siapha Kamara
Score: 4.6
Comment: The stakeholder and especially the parents participation is mainstreamed through out the initiative

5 / 5

Judge Name: Bernadette Leon
Score: 5.0
Comment: A high-level of consultation in the nomination - this was chosen after all partners in the OGP committee were asked to submit proposals. As for joint-implementation - this initiative has a civil society partner (Transparency International) and is implemented with private owners of day-care centres as well as the parents who are the users of the service. Contacts for the civil society partner is provided

5 / 5

Judge Name: Tanvi Nagpal
Score: 5.0
Comment: The initiative was started by an NGO and has been institutionalized through the local government.

5 / 5

Judge Name: Bibhu Prasad Sahu
Score: 5.0
Comment: Strong evidence of nomination and validation. Selection of case process commendable.

4.5 / 5

Judge Name: Ritva Reinikka
Score: 4.5
Comment: Provides compelling evidence on consulting CSOs when nominating this initiative. Jointly implemented and wide support from CSOs in terms of validation. Also a strong partnership with private entities.

JUDGING CRITERION # 2: STRENGTH AND INNOVATION IN OPEN GOVERNMENT APPROACHES (0-5)

Does the initiative make a compelling case of using open government approaches [e.g. increasing access to information, civic participation, public accountability and/or technology for transparency] to improve public service delivery?

0 - 1	1 - 2	2 - 3	3 - 4	4 - 5
<i>Exhibits a centralized, top-down approach to improving public services rather than public-facing approach; Target population largely have a passive role</i>	<i>Somewhat articulates the importance of using open government approaches but these are sporadic, not well-thought out; Needs of the target population is unclear</i>	<i>Makes a convincing case of the need to use open government approaches and addresses a need of the target population for improved public services</i>	<i>Establishes strong rationale for using open government approaches which are somewhat innovative; targets a large number of the population and clearly identifies a need</i>	<i>Employs innovative open government approaches given the country context; targets an ambitious number of the population and is responding to a real need or demand</i>

2.9 / 5

Judge Name: Siapha Kamara
Score: 2.9
Comment: The openness of the local government authorities empowered the individual parent and CSOs to participate in developing strategies to strengthen the administrative infrsdtrecure of the Day Care Center

4.5 / 5

Judge Name: Bernadette Leon
Score: 4.5
Comment: The scope and potential impact of this initiative is huge, 34 000 parents have participated in 5 years as community-inspectors or monitors and this model of community monitoring using web-based reporting platforms to make findings visible have large potential for replication and use in other services-monitoring. This is an excellent example of using civic participation supported by technology to support more responsive service delivery.

4.8 / 5

Judge Name: Tanvi Nagpal
Score: 4.8
Comment: Uses parents as effective partners and makes them a part of the feedback loop to improve the safety of day care centers. Just not sure if the centers are used by all types of parents..Are they free?

5 / 5

Judge Name: Bibhu Prasad Sahu
Score: 5.0
Comment: It has the strength of innovation and result oriented. But it is unable to reach a larger population due to a web based tool. Mobile technology can be used to reach a larger population.

4.2 / 5

Judge Name: Ritva Reinikka
Score: 4.2
Comment: This initiative comes across very strong in terms of using civic participation to ensure public accountability. It is concrete and simple, and responds to a real need/demand, following the horrific fire in a public day-care center in 2009. The way the parent monitoring is designed is robust and systematic with random selection. The initiative is less "techie" than some other OGP applications but it does publish the results of the parent inspections on a public web site. It also uses technology to monitor indicators and ensure a faster response.

JUDGING CRITERION # 3: EVIDENCE OF RESULTS (0-5)

Is there any evidence of the initiative achieving the four initiative outcomes listed in the application and/or concrete improvements in public services or access to services?

0 - 1	1 - 2	2 - 3	3 - 4	4 - 5
<i>Shows little evidence of achieving any of the outcomes or of an improvement in public services; target population has barely been reached</i>	<i>Shows some signs of achieving outcomes but the evidence is unconvincing; change in public service is incremental and has reached some of the target population</i>	<i>Demonstrates achieving one or more of the outcomes, but it is unclear whether the quality of the public service or access to the service has improved</i>	<i>Uses clear indicators to prove that one or more of the outcomes were achieved; initiative has widened access or improved the quality of a public service for more than half of the target population</i>	<i>Achieved two or more of the outcomes to ultimately expand access or improve service quality for a majority of the target population; set new standards for the relationship between government and citizens</i>

4.2 / 5

Judge Name: Siapha Kamara
Score: 4.2
Comment: The parents were mobilized to volunteer their services in monitoring and evaluating the day care centers for the children and this helped the local authorities to improve on the services to children.

4.8 / 5

Judge Name: Bernadette Leon
Score: 4.8
Comment: Access to information on the performance of public service providers, citizen mechanisms to monitor services citizens ability to inform the design of programmes...all of these outcomes are achieved by this initiative: 34 000 parents have participated in 5 years, as community-monitors of daycare centres. The reporting of the monitoring findings on-line makes for quicker responding to problems and for transparency w.r.t to performance. To some extent this does set a new standard for citizen-government relationships, moving from passive recipients of services to active monitors.

4.2 / 5

Judge Name: Tanvi Nagpal
Score: 4.2
Comment: Not sure if there is concrete evidence. Apparently there is a decline in the number of the day care centers which are not passing safety inspections.

4.7 / 5

Judge Name: Bibhu Prasad Sahu
Score: 4.7
Comment: Target population still not clear though a number of monitoring visits and events conducted. Need to demonstrate beneficiary details and quality of service improved.

5 / 5

Judge Name: Ritva Reinikka
Score: 5.0
Comment: This important initiative has run almost for 5 years which makes it easier to see its impact. There are clear indicators in terms of inspection visits, parent participation, and improvements in adherence to safety regulations by day-care centers. While there is no information on reduction in incidents, one assumes they have reduced.

JUDGING CRITERION # 4: SUSTAINABILITY (0-5)

Does the applicant make a compelling case that the initiative will be institutionalized or scaled-up over time?

0 - 1	1 - 2	2 - 3	3 - 4	4 - 5
<i>Demonstrates few plans in moving the initiative beyond the pilot stage; does not address any potential threats or challenges to the initiative</i>	<i>Shows some commitment to institutionalizing the initiative; but presents unrealistic ways of managing challenges faced by the initiative</i>	<i>Lists activities to institutionalize the initiative; but only somewhat addresses how challenges will be addressed</i>	<i>Outlines a clear path to either institutionalize or scale-up the initiative; makes a good case on how potential challenges will be addressed</i>	<i>Presents a durable model that can be institutionalized and/or scaled-up; makes a compelling case for how challenges will be managed</i>

3.4 / 5

Judge Name: Siapha Kamara
Score: 3.4
Comment: This promoters have outlined concrete activities towards institutionalizations and ways to overcome challenges .

4.7 / 5

Judge Name: Bernadette Leon
Score: 4.7
Comment: There are indications that the initiative has been internalised into the regulations of this service, making it standard procedures now. There is also indication that scale up is underway - more and more daycare centres are being monitored and that this approach to collecting performance data and making it public has already been institutionalised. The risk of parent-fatigue is mentioned and it appears that, because of the visible positive results that this risk has been managed successfully.

4.1 / 5

Judge Name: Tanvi Nagpal
Score: 4.1
Comment: The partners understand that the main impediment may be to maintain public interest in the project. The issue is that its not clear that parents will continue to monitor the day cares year after year. So its not an issue of scale but of sustainability for the long term Of course, its completely possible that once the day cares put safety procedures into place they will stay improved.

5 / 5

Judge Name: Bibhu Prasad Sahu
Score: 5.0
Comment: Need to further improve process by the use of more ICT tools like mobile technology and APPs to make the monitoring user friendly and reach more target population and the poor and most vulnerable.

4.7 / 5

Judge Name: Ritva Reinikka
Score: 4.7
Comment: The application makes a compelling case that the initiative has already been institutionalized. The application discusses challenges that it might face in terms of credibility and parents' participation. Its mitigation plan appears sound. The future plans include upgrading the technology, such as developing a mobile app, and developing a model to predict problems.

JUDGING CRITERION # 5: SPECIAL RECOGNITION (0-5)

Does this open government initiative demonstrate that it successfully improved service delivery access and/or outcomes for a vulnerable population (e.g. poor, elderly, minorities, women), thereby promoting more inclusive development? *Please note that this criterion will not be used in the overall score.

0 - 1	1 - 2	2 - 3	3 - 4	4 - 5
<i>Select this range for No</i>	<i>Do Not Select</i>	<i>Do Not Select</i>	<i>Do Not Select</i>	<i>Select this range for Yes</i>

5 / 5

Judge Name: Siapha Kamara
Score: 5.0
Comment: Children using public day care centers are usually from lower income families

5 / 5

Judge Name: Bernadette Leon
Score: 5.0
Comment: Service delivery outcomes for the most vulnerable, being children and mothers, are improved through this initiative. It was born out of a terrible tragedy where children died in a daycare centre fire - rather than government jumping in to commit to monitor better which it will not have the capacity to do well, it turned this tragedy into a innovative partnership between government and the parents, using technology and citizens as inspectors of services.

0 / 5

Judge Name: Tanvi Nagpal
Score: 0.0
Comment: The initiative improves safety for infants and children in day care centers. So, the extent to which one considers all children vulnerable, the initiative targets them..

5 / 5

Judge Name: Bibhu Prasad Sahu
Score: 5.0
Comment: Strong case for recognition and potentially replicable case. YES.

5 / 5

Judge Name: Ritva Reinikka
Score: 5.0
Comment: While not directly related to any of the groups mentioned above, small children are surely very vulnerable in their day-care center if safety regulations are not adhered to. Hence, if interpreted that way, this initiative would qualify in my view.