Submission

Applicant Name: Honduras Team
Normalized Scores 66.2

JUDGING CRITERION # 1: **CREDIBILITY OF PARTNERSHIPS** (0-5)

**Did the applicant provide sufficient evidence of partnering with other non-government organizations in either nominating, validating and/or jointly implementing the initiative?**

| 0 - 1 | 1 - 2 | 2 - 3 | 3 - 4 | 4 - 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| *Showed no consultation in nominating an initiative; may have been jointly implemented but shows very weak validation of claims* | *Some effort in consulting with other partners in nominating an initiative; initiative was not jointly implemented but provided minimal validation of claims* | *Provided sufficient evidence of consulting with other partners to nominate an initiative, was jointly implemented and presented somewhat convincing validation of claims.* | *Demonstrated compelling mechanizms for consulting others in nominating an initiative; was not jointly implemented but shows convincing validation of claims* | *Shows strong evidence of consulting others in nominating an initiative; jointly implemented with a partner agency and strong validation of claims* |

1.3 / 5

**Judge Name:** Justine Dupuys
**Score:** 1.3
**Comment:** no identifying mechanism of participation for the selection and nomination. it´a not a joint project and there is no validation of claims, they shared documentation of contracts and projects they signed with the world bank and an NGO.

3 / 5

**Judge Name:** Virginia Pardo
**Score:** 3.0
**Comment:** Se validó postulación en el marco del Comité de Gobierno Abierto, con participación de Soc. Civil, y empresas. No se realizó postulación conjunta con otras organizaciones. No se registran más evidencias de comprueben más actividades de colaboración en la propuesta. Si es una iniciativa de claro interés público.

3.3 / 5

**Judge Name:** Tiago Peixoto
**Score:** 3.3
**Comment:** Shows evidence of consulting civil society organisations for the nomination.

1.7 / 5

**Judge Name:** Alvaro Ramirez Alujas
**Score:** 1.7
**Comment:** La iniciativa presentada es parte de los compromisos del plan de acción OGP de Honduras y se asume que su postulación a este concurso fue el resultado de un proceso de deliberación de la Secretaría Técnica del Comité de seguimiento del PAGAH 2014-2016. No obstante no se presenta como un trabajo desarrollado o con respaldo/aval directo de (alguna) OSC sino más bien como una iniciativa a ser desarrollada desde el propio gobierno.

2.5 / 5

**Judge Name:** Tania Sanchez
**Score:** 2.5
**Comment:** Not sufficient evidence of consultation, but the implementation of the initiative involves partnering with several CSO and international agencies. Validation claims are not support letters, but rather MOUs with one CSO and an agreement with the World Bank.

JUDGING CRITERION #2: **STRENGTH AND INNOVATION IN OPEN GOVERNMENT APPROACHES** (0-5)

**Does the initiative make a compelling case of using open government approaches [e.g. increasing access to information, civic participation, public accountability and/or technology for transparency] to improve public service delivery?**

| 0 - 1 | 1 - 2 | 2 - 3 | 3 - 4 | 4 - 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| *Exhibits a centralized, top-down approach to improving public services rather than public-facing approach; Target population largely have a passive role* | *Somewhat articulates the importance of using open government approaches but these are sporadic, not well-thought out; Needs of the target population is unclear* | *Makes a convincing case of the need to use open government approaches and addresses a need of the target population for improved public services* | *Establishes strong rationale for using open government approaches which are somewhat innovative; targets a large number of the population and clearly identifies a need* | *Employs innovative open government approaches given the country context; targets an ambitious number of the population and is responding to a real need or demand* |

3.9 / 5

**Judge Name:** Justine Dupuys
**Score:** 3.9
**Comment:** despite the strong top down approach of the initiative, there is a lot of open government components like transparency, accountability, use of new technologies, and the active participation of the target population like fathers who are taking part to the monitoring of the schools and a system for denouncing abuses online

3.6 / 5

**Judge Name:** Virginia Pardo
**Score:** 3.6
**Comment:** Es una iniciativa claramente identificada como de reforma educativa. Principalmente el foco esta dado en la mejora radical de la gestión y gobernabilidad de la educación, así como en la transparencia y rendición de cuentas. Los instrumentos planteados dentro del enfoque de Gobierno Abierto, corresponde a transparencia de la información mediante sitio Web y la participación dentro de las familias, denuncias, etc. Si bien es una iniciativa de alto impacto, no podría clasificarse de innovación.

3 / 5

**Judge Name:** Tiago Peixoto
**Score:** 3.0
**Comment:** The proposal fails to establish a link between open government approaches and improvement in service delivery. Most of the activities that seem to have an effect are managerial in their nature.

2.7 / 5

**Judge Name:** Alvaro Ramirez Alujas
**Score:** 2.7
**Comment:** Vincula temas de transparencia en el sector educativo con mejoramiento de la infraestructura (acceso a información y rendición de cuentas).

4.8 / 5

**Judge Name:** Tania Sanchez
**Score:** 4.8
**Comment:** The initiative is designed to support an ambitious revamping of the education system by increasing access to information,, civic participation and public accountability.

JUDGING CRITERION # 3: **EVIDENCE OF RESULTS** (0-5)

**Is there any evidence of the initiative achieving the four initiative outcomes listed in the application and/or concrete improvements in public services or access to services?**

| 0 - 1 | 1 - 2 | 2 - 3 | 3 - 4 | 4 - 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| *Shows little evidence of achieving any of the outcomes or of an improvement in public services; target population has barely been reached* | *Shows some signs of achieving outcomes but the evidence is unconvincing; change in public service is incremental and has reached some of the target population* | *Demonstrates achieving one or more of the outcomes, but it is unclear whether the quality of the public service or access to the service has improved* | *Uses clear indicators to prove that one or more of the outcomes were achieved; initiative has widened access or improved the quality of a public service for more than half of the target population* | *Achieved two or more of the outcomes to ultimately expand access or improve service quality for a majority of the target population; set new standards for the relationship between government and citizens* |

4.2 / 5

**Judge Name:** Justine Dupuys
**Score:** 4.2
**Comment:** There is a lot of information available on education system thanks to this initiative with friendly visualization, they had an independent evaluation of improvement of the number of school days for kids in Honduras.

3.6 / 5

**Judge Name:** Virginia Pardo
**Score:** 3.6
**Comment:** Claramente se evidencian, mediante la información publicada en el sitio web e informes las mejoras impactadas en el sector educativo hondureño, vinculado con el mejor acceso y calidad en la educación para niños y adolescentes. No se evidencia tan claramente la participación activa de la ciudadanía y Soc. Civil en el proceso.

3.3 / 5

**Judge Name:** Tiago Peixoto
**Score:** 3.1
**Comment:** There is some evidence of reaching the outcomes, but their extent is unclear.

2.8 / 5

**Judge Name:** Alvaro Ramirez Alujas
**Score:** 2.8
**Comment:** La postulación no presenta detalle sobre indicadores y/o métricas que permitan valorar su potencial impacto. Si bien se entregan algunos datos y cifras sobre los resultados alcanzados a la fecha, y cómo ello ha mejorado el sector educativo en Honduras, no existe claridad sobre el producto final en relación a la idea de gobierno abierto.

4.6 / 5

**Judge Name:** Tania Sanchez
**Score:** 4.6
**Comment:** The initiative has been in place for over two years, and has managed to achieve the four outcomes to significantly improve education. Promoting the involvement of civil society --importantly, parents-- has been key.

JUDGING CRITERION # 4: **SUSTAINABILITY** (0-5)

**Does the applicant make a compelling case that the initiative will be institutionalized or scaled-up over time?**

| 0 - 1 | 1 - 2 | 2 - 3 | 3 - 4 | 4 - 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| *Demonstrates few plans in moving the initiative beyond the pilot stage; does not address any potential threats or challenges to the initiative* | *Shows some commitment to institutionalizing the initiative; but presents unrealistic ways of managing challenges faced by the initiative* | *Lists activities to institutionalize the initiative; but only somewhat addresses how challenges will be addressed* | *Outlines a clear path to either institutionalize or scale-up the initiative; makes a good case on how potential challenges will be addressed* | *Presents a durable model that can be institutionalized and/or scaled-up; makes a compelling case for how challenges will be managed* |

2.7 / 5

**Judge Name:** Justine Dupuys
**Score:** 2.7
**Comment:** There is a plan to scale up this initiative but it is more a public policy for education than an initiative of open governement

4.2 / 5

**Judge Name:** Virginia Pardo
**Score:** 4.2
**Comment:** La sostenibilidad esta planteada en el marco de la continuidad de las acciones de la secretaria de educación y de continuar implementando la Ley Fundamental de Educación mediante la aplicación de los reglamentos de manera gradual y efectiva.

4.2 / 5

**Judge Name:** Tiago Peixoto
**Score:** 4.2
**Comment:** Yes, there seems to be an institutional framework that allows for sustainability.

2.5 / 5

**Judge Name:** Alvaro Ramirez Alujas
**Score:** 2.5
**Comment:** Según lo presentado, se trata de un enfoque de trabajo para potenciar y desarrollar la política de educación en Honduras.

4.5 / 5

**Judge Name:** Tania Sanchez
**Score:** 4.5
**Comment:** Points out some challenges and mentions plans to address those; underlines that implementing the education law and its regulation requieres the sustainability of the initiative. Involvement of stakeholders strengthens the prospects of sustainability.

JUDGING CRITERION # 5: **SPECIAL RECOGNITION** (0-5)

**Does this open government initiative demonstrate that it successfully improved service delivery access and/or outcomes for a vulnerable population (e.g. poor, elderly, minorities, women), thereby promoting more inclusive development? *Please note that this criterion will not be used in the overall score.**

| 0 - 1 | 1 - 2 | 2 - 3 | 3 - 4 | 4 - 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| *Select this range for No* | *Do Not Select* | *Do Not Select* | *Do Not Select* | *Select this range for Yes* |

5 / 5

**Judge Name:** Justine Dupuys
**Score:** 5.0
**Comment:** this initiative improved the education system. The kids are the vulnerable population that benefit from this initiative

5 / 5

**Judge Name:** Virginia Pardo
**Score:** 5.0
**Comment:** La iniciativa claramente involucra población vulnerable de niños, niñas y adolescentes del sector educativo Hondureño, procurando mejorar significativamente el acceso y calidad de su educación.

0 / 5

**Judge Name:** Tiago Peixoto
**Score:** 0.0
**Comment:** Not really. Although there is an improvement in service delivery (access to school) it is unclear if that is related to the "opengov" reforms.

5 / 5

**Judge Name:** Alvaro Ramirez Alujas
**Score:** 5.0
**Comment:** Se trata de una estrategia que pretende impactar positivamente en la gobernanza y calidad del sistema educativo hondureño.

5 / 5

**Judge Name:** Tania Sanchez
**Score:** 5.0
**Comment:** Ultimate beneficiaries of the initiative are school children.