

Submission

Applicant Name: Colombia Team
Normalized Scores 83.9

JUDGING CRITERION # 1: CREDIBILITY OF PARTNERSHIPS (0-5)

Did the applicant provide sufficient evidence of partnering with other non-government organizations in either nominating, validating and/or jointly implementing the initiative?

0 - 1	1 - 2	2 - 3	3 - 4	4 - 5
<i>Shows no consultation in nominating an initiative; may have been jointly implemented but shows very weak validation of claims</i>	<i>Some effort in consulting with other partners in nominating an initiative; initiative was not jointly implemented but provided minimal validation of claims</i>	<i>Provided sufficient evidence of consulting with other partners to nominate an initiative, was jointly implemented and presented somewhat convincing validation of claims.</i>	<i>Demonstrated compelling mechanisms for consulting others in nominating an initiative; was not jointly implemented but shows convincing validation of claims</i>	<i>Shows strong evidence of consulting others in nominating an initiative; jointly implemented with a partner agency and strong validation of claims</i>

4.7 / 5

Judge Name: Justine Dupuys
Score: 4.7
Comment: this is a joint project and the process of nomination takes into account the civil society point of view by a vote online and the participation of some NGOs in the selection.

5 / 5

Judge Name: Virginia Pardo
Score: 5.0
Comment: Se realizaron procesos de selección de postulaciones, en el marco de GA. La propuesta fue elaborada en conjunto con Organizaciones no gubernamentales. La iniciativa surge como articulación de Estado-academia.

5 / 5

Judge Name: Tiago Peixoto
Score: 5.0
Comment: Yes, the project shows that it conducted a rather intensive consultation process and validates its claims with regard to partnerships, which is the essence of the project.

4.4 / 5

Judge Name: Alvaro Ramirez Alujas
Score: 4.4
Comment: Se establece un mecanismo para seleccionar la iniciativa que se postula (proceso participativo a cargo del Comité de seguimiento AGA Colombia). Incluye la participación de otros actores (y es relevante el hecho de que exista un activo involucramiento del sector universitario y de investigación, más la población de los sectores beneficiados del proyecto). Las cartas de respaldo que acompañan la postulación confirman el apoyo y avalan la iniciativa presentada.

5 / 5

Judge Name: Tania Sanchez
Score: 5.0
Comment: The Colombian team used their OGP permanent consultation mechanism to nominate and then put the initiatives up on a platform for the public to vote. The core of the initiative is about building a partnership between academia, local community leaders and government to co-create innovative solutions to fight poverty.

JUDGING CRITERION # 2: STRENGTH AND INNOVATION IN OPEN GOVERNMENT APPROACHES (0-5)

Does the initiative make a compelling case of using open government approaches [e.g. increasing access to information, civic participation, public accountability and/or technology for transparency] to improve public service delivery?

0 - 1	1 - 2	2 - 3	3 - 4	4 - 5
<i>Exhibits a centralized, top-down approach to improving public services rather than public-facing approach; Target population largely have a passive role</i>	<i>Somewhat articulates the importance of using open government approaches but these are sporadic, not well-thought out; Needs of the target population is unclear</i>	<i>Makes a convincing case of the need to use open government approaches and addresses a need of the target population for improved public services</i>	<i>Establishes strong rationale for using open government approaches which are somewhat innovative; targets a large number of the population and clearly identifies a need</i>	<i>Employs innovative open government approaches given the country context; targets an ambitious number of the population and is responding to a real need or demand</i>

3.3 / 5

Judge Name: Justine Dupuys
Score: 3.3
Comment: this project is interesting but is based mostly on citizen participation based on the exchanges between university, poor community and the government. there is a lack of governmental accountability, open data, transparency and new technology, which are important components of open government.

4.9 / 5

Judge Name: Virginia Pardo
Score: 4.9
Comment: Se llevan adelante acciones innovadoras de Gobierno Abierto con el fin de contribuir con la superación de las necesidades de la población en situación de extrema pobreza. Iniciativa con alcance nacional, focalizado en sectores específicos, comunidades rurales. Se destaca el enfoque innovador y colaborativo de la iniciativa, especialmente en la metodología planteada.

3.8 / 5

Judge Name: Tiago Peixoto
Score: 3.8
Comment: Yes, there is a convincing case on the need of involving the population to participate and how this may spur innovation. However, beyond some anecdotal evidence, the extent of the initiative's impact is not clear.

3.5 / 5

Judge Name: Alvaro Ramirez Alujas
Score: 3.5
Comment: Se trata de una iniciativa que favorece el trabajo en clave colaborativa, aprovechando capacidades de diversos actores, para diagnosticar y dar potenciales soluciones a problemas sociales (pobreza extrema) desde el enfoque de innovación social (y pensamiento de diseño). Si bien se relaciona con los ejes de participación ciudadana y uso de nuevas metodologías para el diseño de servicios - no queda claro si ello se ha concretado (las propuestas que son producto del Taller RAD ANSPE) en proyectos y/o iniciativas que han impactado (en la práctica) en la calidad de vida de las personas (los datos entregados son insuficientes para valorar adecuadamente). Se presenta evidencia sobre un caso de aplicación (ECOLANG) que, se asume, es un ejemplo de cómo se concreta u obtiene una acción tangible a partir del trabajo del Taller.

3.5 / 5

Judge Name: Tania Sanchez
Score: 3.5
Comment: The initiative makes the case that solutions to local challenges are better crafted with the participation of the local community, who very well know their needs, and involves students from universities to bring technical support. It is mainly about creating a model to promote participation in local development initiatives, and does not seem to use access to information, accountability or technology for transparency approaches.

JUDGING CRITERION # 3: EVIDENCE OF RESULTS (0-5)

Is there any evidence of the initiative achieving the four initiative outcomes listed in the application and/or concrete improvements in public services or access to services?

0 - 1	1 - 2	2 - 3	3 - 4	4 - 5
<i>Shows little evidence of achieving any of the outcomes or of an improvement in public services; target population has barely been reached</i>	<i>Shows some signs of achieving outcomes but the evidence is unconvincing; change in public service is incremental and has reached some of the target population</i>	<i>Demonstrates achieving one or more of the outcomes, but it is unclear whether the quality of the public service or access to the service has improved</i>	<i>Uses clear indicators to prove that one or more of the outcomes were achieved; initiative has widened access or improved the quality of a public service for more than half of the target population</i>	<i>Achieved two or more of the outcomes to ultimately expand access or improve service quality for a majority of the target population; set new standards for the relationship between government and citizens</i>

2.9 / 5

Judge Name: Justine Dupuys
Score: 2.9
Comment: they provide only one evidence of the project ecolang based on recycling and there is no information linked with this project and the open government philosophy. They gave some indicators on the participation to the workshop

5 / 5

Judge Name: Virginia Pardo
Score: 5.0
Comment: Se presenta evidencia de los pilotos y casos de éxito desarrollados aplicando las técnicas de innovación social, los resultados obtenidos e indicadores de la participación de la ciudadanía.

4 / 5

Judge Name: Tiago Peixoto
Score: 4.0
Comment: It demonstrated achieving some of the outcomes, however it is unclear the extent to which it has improved quality of public service delivery for more than half of the target population (or whatever other proportion for that matter)

4.8 / 5

Judge Name: Alvaro Ramirez Alujas
Score: 4.8
Comment: Se presenta evidencia y datos sobre los resultados, alcance y cobertura, que ha venido generando la iniciativa.

3.3 / 5

Judge Name: Tania Sanchez
Score: 3.3
Comment: The initiative focuses on providing ways for citizens --students and the local community-- to participate in the design and delivery of public services. The idea is that through their participation in this program, students will become aware of the needs and realities of these communities and hence become better designers of anti-poverty policies.

JUDGING CRITERION # 4: SUSTAINABILITY (0-5)

Does the applicant make a compelling case that the initiative will be institutionalized or scaled-up over time?

0 - 1	1 - 2	2 - 3	3 - 4	4 - 5
<i>Demonstrates few plans in moving the initiative beyond the pilot stage; does not address any potential threats or challenges to the initiative</i>	<i>Shows some commitment to institutionalizing the initiative; but presents unrealistic ways of managing challenges faced by the initiative</i>	<i>Lists activities to institutionalize the initiative; but only somewhat addresses how challenges will be addressed</i>	<i>Outlines a clear path to either institutionalize or scale-up the initiative; makes a good case on how potential challenges will be addressed</i>	<i>Presents a durable model that can be institutionalized and/or scaled-up; makes a compelling case for how challenges will be managed</i>

4 / 5

Judge Name: Justine Dupuys
Score: 4.0
Comment: There is a plan to develop at a large scale this program.

4.8 / 5

Judge Name: Virginia Pardo
Score: 4.8
Comment: Se detalla la sostenibilidad en base a la continuidad de los convenios para desarrollar nuevos proyectos en otras 10 ciudades. Se prevee mayor involucramiento de entidades locales.

2.9 / 5

Judge Name: Tiago Peixoto
Score: 2.9
Comment: The initiative shows very little evidence of how it can be sustained or scaled-up.

4.8 / 5

Judge Name: Alvaro Ramirez Alujas
Score: 4.8
Comment: Todo indica que se trata de un tipo de iniciativa que es parte de una estrategia integral para reforzar la acción del Estado Colombiano en la superación de la pobreza extrema y población vulnerable. Se observa que en su desarrollo ha ido escalando (etapas) y se encuentra en vías de institucionalizarse (incorporando más ciudades y temas hacia el 2016).

4.3 / 5

Judge Name: Tania Sanchez
Score: 4.3
Comment: The initiative has been embedded in the government agency's structure and they outline how they will be expanding it to other cities. However, there is no information about the budget committed to sustaining the initiative.

JUDGING CRITERION # 5: SPECIAL RECOGNITION (0-5)

Does this open government initiative demonstrate that it successfully improved service delivery access and/or outcomes for a vulnerable population (e.g. poor, elderly, minorities, women), thereby promoting more inclusive development? *Please note that this criterion will not be used in the overall score.

0 - 1	1 - 2	2 - 3	3 - 4	4 - 5
<i>Select this range for No</i>	<i>Do Not Select</i>	<i>Do Not Select</i>	<i>Do Not Select</i>	<i>Select this range for Yes</i>

5 / 5

Judge Name: Justine Dupuys
Score: 5.0
Comment: this initiative have a clear focus to improve living conditions of vulnerable population.

5 / 5

Judge Name: Virginia Pardo
Score: 5.0
Comment: La propuesta busca generar espacios de intercambio y aprendizaje que permitan superar la innovación social y promueve el desarrollo de soluciones viables y sostenibles en aras de contribuir con la superación de las necesidades de la población en situación de extrema pobreza y vulnerabilidad.

0 / 5

Judge Name: Tiago Peixoto
Score: 0.0
Comment: The only compelling example of outcomes is the ECOLANG project. The impact of other "talleres" is by no means clear.

5 / 5

Judge Name: Alvaro Ramirez Alujas
Score: 5.0
Comment: La iniciativa tiene un impacto relevante hacia grupos/población vulnerable y muestra una nueva forma de aproximarse a trabajar en la solución de problemas públicos desde un espacio de diseño con los actores (beneficiarios/población afectada) y utilizando capacidades y talentos distribuidos para dicho efecto (universidades). Es un buen caso de cómo la innovación social puede potenciar el mejoramiento de los servicios públicos.

5 / 5

Judge Name: Tania Sanchez
Score: 5.0
Comment: The initiative targets poor communities and it demonstrates having delivered improved services. It emphasizes that the added value is the multiplying effect of getting students involved and accounting on a three party model: community-academia-government. Perhaps, the initiative could be strengthened by adding transparency and accountability components.